arXiv:1701.08736v1 [cs.IT] 30 Jan 2017

CONTRACTION OF CYCLIC CODES OVER FINITE CHAIN RINGS

ALEXANDRE FOTUE TABUE AND CHRISTOPHE MOUAHA

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a commutative finite chain ring of invariants (q,s), and $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})$ the Teichmüller's set of $\mathtt{R}$. In this paper, the trace representation cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ, is presented, when $\gcd(\ell,q)=1$. We will show that the contractions of some cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length $u\ell$ are γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ, where $\gamma\in\Gamma(\mathtt{R})\backslash\{0_{\mathtt{R}}\}$ and the multiplicative order of γ is u.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathtt{R}$ be finite chain ring with invariant (q,s), $\pi:\mathtt{R}\to\mathbb{F}_q$ be the natural ring epimorphism, and ℓ a positive integer such that $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. Let $\mathtt{R}^\times$ be the group of units of $\mathtt{R}$, and $\gamma\in\mathtt{R}^\times$. An $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ is γ-*constacyclic* if $\tau_\gamma(\mathscr{C})=\mathscr{C}$, where $\tau_\gamma:\mathtt{R}^\ell\to\mathtt{R}^\ell$, is the γ-constashift operator, defined by $\tau_\gamma(\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})=(\gamma\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1},\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-2})$. Especially, cyclic and negacyclic linear codes correspond to $\gamma=1_{\mathtt{R}}$ and $\gamma=-1_{\mathtt{R}}$, respectively (see [4]). The residue code of $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is the $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear code $\pi(\mathscr{C}):=\{(\pi(\mathbf{c}_0),\pi(\mathbf{c}_1),\cdots,\pi(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})):(\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathscr{C}\}$. The equality $\pi(\tau_\gamma(\mathscr{C}))=\tau_{\pi(\gamma)}(\pi(\mathscr{C}))$, enables to see that the residue code of any γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code, is an $\pi(\gamma)$-constacyclic $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear code. In the literature [3, 5, 10, 11, 12], the class of γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes, which are studied, have the following property $\gamma\in 1_{\mathtt{R}}+\mathtt{R}\theta$.

In this paper, on the one hand, we will describe each γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, as contraction of a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$, and on the other hand, we will investigate on the structure of γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes, where $\gamma\in\Gamma(\mathtt{R})\setminus\{0_{\mathtt{R}}\}$.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we present results which will be used in the following sections. Sect. 3 studies the subring subcode and trace code of a linear codes over finite chain rings. In Sect.4, the trace-description of cyclic linear codes over finite chain rings is presented. For any $\gamma\in\Gamma(\mathtt{R})$, we proceed to investigate on the structural properties of γ-constacyclic codes of arbitrary length ℓ, in Sect. 5.

2. BACKGROUND ON FINITE CHAIN RINGS

Throughout of this section, $\mathtt{R}$ is a commutative ring with identity and $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$ denoted the Jacobson radical of $\mathtt{R}$, and $\mathtt{R}^\times$ denotes the multiplicative group of units of $\mathtt{R}$. The definitions and results on the finite chain rings are extracted in monographs [6, 8].

Definition 2.1. *We say that* $\mathtt{R}$ *is a* finite chain ring of invariants (q,s), *if:*

(1) $\mathtt{R}$ *is local principal ideal ring;*
(2) $\mathtt{R}/\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})\simeq\mathbb{F}_q$ *and* $\mathtt{R}\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta\supsetneq\cdots\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta^{s-1}\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta^s=\{0\}$, *where* θ *is a generator of* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$.

The map $\pi:\mathtt{R}\to\mathbb{F}_q$ denotes the canonical projection.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s), *and* θ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. *Then*

(1) $\mathtt{R}^\times=\mathtt{R}\setminus\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$, *and the ideals of* $\mathtt{R}$ *are precisely* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})^t=\mathtt{R}\theta^t$, *where* $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$;
(2) $|\mathtt{R}^\times|=q^{(s-1)}(q-1)$ *and* $|\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})^t|=q^{s-t}$, *for every* $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s), *and* θ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. *Then*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16P10; 65F30; 94B15.
Key words and phrases. Linear Codes; Constacyclic Codes; Finite Chain Rings; Trace Map.

(1) $\mathtt{R}^\times = \Gamma(\mathtt{R})^* \cdot (1+\mathtt{R}\theta)$, *and* $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^* \simeq \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ *(as multiplicative group) where* $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^* := \{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathtt{R} : \boldsymbol{b} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{b}^q = \boldsymbol{b}\}$;
(2) $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*$ *is a cyclic subgroup of* $\mathtt{R}^\times$, *of order* $q-1$ *and* $|1_{\mathtt{R}} + \mathtt{R}\theta| = q^{s-1}$;
(3) *for every element* $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathtt{R}$, *there exists a unique* $(\boldsymbol{a}_0, \boldsymbol{a}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{a}_{s-1}) \in \Gamma(\mathtt{R})^s$, *such that* $\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a}_0 + \boldsymbol{a}_1\theta + \cdots + \boldsymbol{a}_{s-1}\theta^{s-1}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s), *and* θ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. *The set* $\Gamma(\mathtt{R}) = \Gamma(\mathtt{R})^* \cup \{0\}$ *is called the* Teichmüller set *of* $\mathtt{R}$.

We say that the ring $\mathtt{S}$ is an *extension* of $\mathtt{R}$ and we denote it by $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ if $\mathtt{R}$ is a subring of $\mathtt{S}$ and $1_{\mathtt{R}} = 1_{\mathtt{S}}$. We denote by $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$, the rank of $\mathtt{R}$-module $\mathtt{S}$. We denote by $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$, the group of ring automorphisms of $\mathtt{S}$ which fix the elements of $\mathtt{R}$.

Definition 2.3. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *We say that the finite chain ring* $\mathtt{S}$ *is the* Galois extension *of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m, *if*

(1) $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ *is unramified, i.e.* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{S}) = \mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})\mathtt{S}$;
(2) $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ *is normal, i.e.* $\mathtt{R} := \{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathtt{S} : \varrho(\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{a}$ *for all* $\varrho \in \mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})\}$.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *Let* $\mathtt{S}$ *is the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m. *Then*

(1) $\mathtt{S}$ *is a free* $\mathtt{R}$*-module of rank* m;
(2) $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$ *is cyclic of order* m;
(3) $\mathtt{S} = \mathtt{R}[\xi]$ *where* ξ *is a generator of* $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})$.

Definition 2.4. *Let* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ *be the Galois extension of finite chain rings of degree* m *and* σ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$. *The map* $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}} := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i$, *is called the* trace map *of the Galois extension* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$.

Proposition 2. [6, Chap. XIV] *Let* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{T}$ *and* $\mathtt{R}|\mathtt{T}$ *be Galois extensions of finite chain rings. Then*

(1) $\mathtt{R} = \{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathtt{S} : \sigma(\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{a}$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})\}$;
(2) *the bilinear form* $\varphi : (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \mapsto \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\boldsymbol{ab})$ *is nondegenerate;*
(3) $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}$ *is a generator of* $\mathtt{S}$*-module* $\mathtt{Hom}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S},\mathtt{R})$, *and* $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{T}}^{\mathtt{R}} \circ \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}} = \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{T}}^{\mathtt{S}}$.

3. Linear codes over finite chain rings

Recall that an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ is an $\mathtt{R}$-submodule of $\mathtt{R}^\ell$. We say that an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code is *free* if it is a free as $\mathtt{R}$-module.

3.1. **Type and rank of a linear code.** A matrix G is called a *generator matrix* for $\mathscr{C}$ if the rows of G span $\mathscr{C}$ and none of them can be written as an $\mathtt{R}$-linear combination of the other rows of G. We say that G is a generator matrix in *standard form* if

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & G_{0,1} & G_{0,2} & \cdots & G_{0,s-1} & G_{0,s} \\ 0 & \theta I_{k_1} & \theta G_{1,2} & \cdots & \theta G_{1,s-1} & \theta G_{1,s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \theta^{s-1} I_{k_{s-1}} & \theta^{s-1} G_{s-1,s} \end{pmatrix} U, \tag{1}$$

where U is a suitable permutation matrix. The s-tuple $(k_0, k_1, \cdots, k_{s-1})$ is called *type* of G and $\mathtt{rank}(G) := k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{s-1}$ is the *rank* of G.

Proposition 3. *(*[9, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.5]*) Each* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\mathscr{C}$ *admits a generator matrix* G *standard form. Moreover, the type is the same for any generator matrix in standard form for* $\mathscr{C}$.

So the type and the rank are the invariants of $\mathscr{C}$, and henceforth we have the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be an* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code.*

(1) *The* type *of* $\mathscr{C}$ *is the type of a generator matrix of* $\mathscr{C}$ *in standard form.*
(2) *The* rank *of* $\mathscr{C}$, *denoted* $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{C})$, *is the rank of a generator matrix of* $\mathscr{C}$ *in standard form.*

Obviously, any $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ and of type $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$ is free if and only if the rank of $\mathscr{C}$ is k_0, and $k_1=k_2=\cdots=k_{s-1}=0$. It defines the scalar product on $\mathtt{R}^\ell$ by: $\underline{\mathbf{a}}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}}:=\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{b}_i$, where $\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}}$ is the transpose of $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. The dual code of $\mathscr{C}$, denoted $\mathscr{C}^\perp$, is an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, define by: $\mathscr{C}^\perp:=\left\{\underline{\mathbf{a}}\in\mathtt{R}^\ell : \underline{\mathbf{a}}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}}=0 \text{ for all } \underline{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathscr{C}\right\}$. A generator matrix of $\mathscr{C}^\perp$, is called parity-check matrix of $\mathscr{C}$.

Proposition 4. *(*[9, Theorem 3.10]*) Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be an* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code of length* ℓ *and of type* $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$. *Then*

(1) *the type of* $\mathscr{C}^\perp$ *is* $(\ell-k,k_{s-1},\cdots,k_1)$, *where* $k:=k_0+k_1+\cdots+k_{s-1}$.
(2) $|\mathscr{C}|=q^{\sum_{t=0}^{s-1}(s-t)k_t}$, *where* $|\mathscr{C}|$ *denotes the number of elements of* $\mathscr{C}$.

3.2. **Galois closure of a linear code over a finite chain ring.** Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear codes of length ℓ. Then

$$\sigma(\mathscr{B}):=\{(\sigma(\mathbf{c}_0),\cdots,\sigma(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})) : (\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathscr{B}\}$$

is also an $\mathtt{S}$-linear codes of length ℓ. We say that the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathscr{B}$ is called σ*-invariant* if $\sigma(\mathscr{B})=\mathscr{B}$. The *subring subcode* of $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathtt{R}$, is $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}):=\mathscr{B}\cap\mathtt{R}^\ell$, and the *trace code* of $\mathscr{B}$ over $\mathtt{R}$, is the $\mathtt{R}$-linear code

$$\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}):=\left\{(\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{c}_0),\cdots,\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})) : (\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathscr{B}\right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\sigma(\mathscr{B}))=\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$. The *extension code* of an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathtt{S}$, is the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{C})$, formed by taking all combinations of codewords of $\mathscr{C}$. The following theorem generalizes Delsarte's celebrated result (see [13, Ch.7.§8. Theorem 11.]).

Theorem 2. *(*[7, Theorem 3]*). Let* $\mathscr{B}$ *be an* $\mathtt{S}$*-linear code then* $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}^\perp)=\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^\perp$, *where* $\mathscr{B}^\perp$ *is the dual to* $\mathscr{B}$ *with respect to the usual scalar product, and* $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^\perp$ *is the dual of* $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ *in* $\mathtt{R}^\ell$.

Definition 3.2. *Let* $\mathscr{B}$ *be an* $\mathtt{S}$*-linear code. The* σ-closure *of* $\mathscr{B}$, *is the smallest* σ*-invariant* $\mathtt{S}$*-linear code* $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, *containing* $\mathscr{B}$.

Proposition 5. *Let* $\mathscr{B}$ *be an* $\mathtt{S}$*-linear code. Then* $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$ *and* $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})=\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})$.

Proof. We have $\mathscr{B}\subseteq\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\sigma(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})=\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, by Definition 3.2 of $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$. So $\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})\subseteq\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, for all $i\in\{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}$. Hence $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})\subseteq\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$. Since $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$ and $\mathscr{B}\subseteq\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$, as $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is the smallest $\mathtt{S}$-linear code containing $\mathscr{B}$, which is σ-invariant, it follows $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}\subseteq\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$. Thanks to [7, Proposition 1.], $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})=\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$. □

The following Theorem summarizes the obtained results in [7].

Theorem 3. *Let* $\mathscr{B}$ *be an* $\mathtt{S}$*-linear code and* σ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathscr{B}$ *is* σ*-invariant;*
(2) $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})=\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$;
(3) $\mathscr{B}$, *and* $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ *have the same type.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code.

1. $\Leftrightarrow$ 2. : Thanks to [7, Theorem 2].
1. $\Leftrightarrow$ 3. : Since any $\mathtt{R}$-basis of $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ is also an $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}))$. Thanks to [7, Theorem 1], we deduce that $\mathscr{B} = \mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}\left(\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B})\right)$ if and only if $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ have the same type.

□

4. Cyclic linear codes over finite chain rings

Let ℓ be a positive integer such that $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. Then the remainder $q(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$ of q by ℓ, belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$, the positive integer m denotes the multiplicative order of $q(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$. Let $\Sigma_\ell := \{0,1,\cdots,\ell-1\}$ be the underling set of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

4.1. **Cyclotomic cosets.** Let u be a positive integer. The set of *multiples* of u in A is

$$u\mathrm{A} := \{uz(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell) : z \in \mathrm{A}\}.$$

The q-*closure* of A is $\complement_q(\mathrm{A}) := \underset{i\in\mathbb{N}}{\cup} q^i\mathrm{A}$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $z \in \Sigma_\ell$. The* q-cyclotomic coset modulo ℓ, *containing* z, *the Galois closure of* $\{z\}$. *We simply write* $\complement_q(z) := \complement_q(\{z\})$.

It denotes by $\Re_\ell(q)$ the set of q-closure subsets of Σ_ℓ. Obviously, the q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ, form a partition of Σ_ℓ. Let $\Sigma_\ell(q)$ be a set of representatives of each q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ.

Proposition 6. [1, Proposition 5.2] *We have* $|\Sigma_\ell(q)| = \sum_{d|\ell} \frac{\phi(\ell)}{\mathtt{ord}_\ell(q)}$, *where* $\phi(.)$ *is the Euler totient function and* $\mathtt{ord}_\ell(q) := \mathtt{min}\{i \in \mathbb{N} : q^{i+1} \equiv 1(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)\}$.

Notation 1. *Let $z \in \Sigma_\ell$ and* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ *and* $u \in \mathbb{N}$, *with* $\gcd(u\,,q)=1$.

(1) *The* opposite *of* A *is* $-\mathrm{A} := \{\ell - z : z \in \mathrm{A}\}$.
(2) *The* complementary *of* A *is* $\overline{\mathrm{A}} := \{z \in \Sigma_\ell : z \notin \mathrm{A}\}$.
(3) *The* dual *of* A *is* $\mathrm{A}^\diamond := \overline{-\mathrm{A}}$.

Remark 1. *Let* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ. *Then* $\complement_q\left(\overline{\mathrm{A}}\right) = \overline{\complement_q(\mathrm{A})}$ *and* $-\complement_q(\mathrm{A}) = \complement_q(-\mathrm{A})$. *Moreover* $(\mathrm{A}^\diamond)^\diamond = \mathrm{A}$.

Example 4.1. *We take* $\ell = 20, q = 3$. *The* q-*cyclotomic cosets modulo* ℓ, *are:* $\complement_q(\{0\}) = \{0\}, \complement_q(\{5\}) = \{5,15\}, \complement_q(\{10\}) = \{10\}$, *and*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \complement_q(\{1\}) = \{1,3,9,7\}; & \complement_q(\{2\}) = \{2,6,18,14\}; \\ \complement_q(\{4\}) = \{4,12,16,8\}; & \complement_q(\{11\}) = \{11,13,19,17\}. \end{array}$$

So $\Sigma_\ell(q) = \{0,1,2,4,5,10,11\}$. *We remark that* $\complement_q(\{-z\}) = \complement_q(\{z\})$, *for every* $z \in \{0,2,4,5,10\}$. *We set* $\mathrm{I} := [0,10]$. *We have* $\mathrm{A} := \complement_q(\mathrm{I}) = \complement_q(\{0,1,2,4,5,10\})$, $-\mathrm{A} = \complement_q(\{2,4,5,10,11\})$, *and* $\mathrm{A}^\diamond := \complement_q(\{1\})$.

4.2. **Likewise Reed-Solomon codes over finite chain rings.** Let $\mathtt{S}$ be the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m and ξ be a generator of $\Gamma(\mathrm{S})\backslash\{0\}$. Let $\mathrm{A} := \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k\}$ be a subset of Σ_ℓ. One denotes by $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{S};\mathrm{A})$, the free $\mathtt{S}$-module with $\mathtt{S}$-basis $\{X^a : a \in \mathrm{A}\}$. Since m is the smallest positive integer with $q^m \equiv 1(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$, we can write $\eta := \xi^{\frac{q^m-1}{\ell}}$ and the multiplicative order of η is ℓ. The evaluation

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{ev}_\eta : & \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{S};\mathrm{A}) & \to & \mathrm{S}^\ell \\ & f & \mapsto & (f(1), f(\eta), \cdots, f(\eta^{\ell-1})), \end{array}$$

is an $\mathtt{S}$-modules monomorphism. We see that if $\mathrm{A} := \{0,1,\cdots,k-1\}$, then for any $\ell^{\mathtt{th}}$-primitive root of unity η in $\Gamma(\mathrm{S})$, the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathbf{ev}_\eta(\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{S};\mathrm{A}))$ is a primitive Reed-Solomon code. For this reason, we define Likewise Reed-Solomon codes which are a family of codes defined over large finite chain rings as follows.

Definition 4.2. *Let* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ, *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *be a finite chain ring such that* $|\Gamma(\mathrm{S})| \geq \ell$. *Let* $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathrm{S})$ *and the multiplicative order of* η *is* ℓ. *The* $\mathtt{S}$-*submodule* $\boldsymbol{ev}_\eta(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{S};\mathrm{A}))$ *is called* likewise Reed-Solomon code *over* $\mathtt{S}$, *with defining pair* $(\eta\,,\mathrm{A})$.

We remark that $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) := \mathbf{ev}_\eta(\mathbf{P}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))$ is the free $\mathtt{S}$-linear code with free $\mathtt{S}$-basis $\{\mathbf{ev}_\eta(X^a) : a \in \mathrm{A}\}$, where A is a subset of Σ_ℓ. We remark that $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\emptyset) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\{0\}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\Sigma_\ell) = \mathtt{S}^\ell$.

Proposition 7. *Let* A,B *be two subsets of* Σ_ℓ. *Then*

(1) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ *is cyclic;*
(2) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cap\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \cap \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$.

Proof. Consider the codeword $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a = \left(1, \eta^a, \cdots, \eta^{a(\ell-1)}\right)$. Then the shift of $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a$ is $\eta^{-a}\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a$. Since $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ is $\mathtt{S}$-linear, we have $\eta^{-a}\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$. Hence $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ is cyclic. It is clear that $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) \supseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$. The set $\{\mathbf{ev}_\eta(X^a) : a \in \mathrm{A}\cup(\mathrm{B}\setminus\mathrm{A})\}$ is a free $\mathtt{R}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B})$ and $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$. Hence, $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$. We leave the last equality as an exercise. □

Proposition 8. *Let* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ *and* u *be a positive integer such that* $\gcd(\ell,u) = 1$. *Then*

(1) $\boldsymbol{L}_{\eta^u}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u\mathrm{A})$;
(2) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$;
(3) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta\left(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})\right)$ *is the* σ *-closure of* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$.

Proof. Assume that $\gcd(\ell,u) = 1$. Then η and η^u are $\ell^{\mathtt{th}}$-primitive roots of unity. Since $\{\mathbf{ev}_\eta(X^a) : a \in u\mathrm{A}\}$ is a free $\mathtt{R}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_{\eta^u}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$, we have $\mathbf{L}_{\eta^u}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u\mathrm{A})$.

A free $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$ is $\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a : -a \in \overline{\mathrm{A}}\}$ where $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a := (1,\eta^{-a},\cdots,\eta^{-a(\ell-1)}) \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$. Then for all $b \in \mathrm{A}$, $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_b := (1,\eta^b,\cdots,\eta^{b(\ell-1)}) \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$, we have $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_b\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a^{\mathtt{tr}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \eta^{(b-a)j}$. It is easy to check that $\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \eta^{ij} = 0$, when $i \not\equiv 0 (\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$. Since $0 < b-a < \ell$, we have $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_b\underline{\mathbf{c}}_a^{\mathtt{tr}} = 0$. So $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp$. Comparison of cardinality yields $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$. Finally, $\sigma(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})) = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};q\mathrm{A})$. So by Proposition 5, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};q^i\mathrm{A}) = \mathbf{L}_\eta\left(\mathtt{S};\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} q^i\mathrm{A}\right).$$

Since $\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} q^i\mathrm{A}$, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})} = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))$. □

4.3. **Trace representation of free cyclic linear codes.** We introduce the map trace-evaluation $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}} \circ \mathbf{ev}_\eta : \mathbf{P}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \to \mathtt{R}^\ell$, defined by:

$$\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}} \circ \mathbf{ev}_\eta(X^a) := \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(1,\eta^a,\cdots,\eta^{a(\ell-1)}\right),$$

for all $a \in \mathrm{A}$. In the sequel, we write: $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) := \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})\right)$, and $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})$ is a free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. The immediate proprieties of trace representation of free cyclic linear codes over finite chain ring are given in the following.

Proposition 9. *Let* A,B *be two empty subsets of* Σ_ℓ. *Then*

(1) $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) = \boldsymbol{C}_\eta\left(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})\right)$;
(2) $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{S}}(\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))) = |\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})|$ *and* $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$;
(3) $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cap\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$.

Proof. Let A,B be two subsets of Σ_ℓ.

(1) From Proposition 5, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))) = \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))$.
(2) Theorem 3(3) yields $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))) = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})))$. So

$$\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})) = \mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))) = |\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})|.$$

From Proposition 8, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$.

□

The following theorem gives the number of cyclic codes and free cyclic codes over finite chain rings.

Lemma 2. [1, Theorem 5.1] *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *Then the following holds:*

(1) *the number of cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ, *is equal to* $(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$,
(2) *the number of free cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ, *is equal to* $2^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$.

Lemma 3. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *be the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m. *Let* $z\in\Sigma_\ell$. *Set* $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$, $m_z:=|\mathtt{C}_q(z)|$, $\eta:=\xi^{\frac{q^m-1}{\ell}}$. *and* $\zeta:=\eta^{-z}$. *Then the map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\psi_z: & \mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}] & \longrightarrow & \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})\\ & \boldsymbol{a} & \longmapsto & \mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}\left(\mathrm{ev}_\eta(\boldsymbol{a}X^z)\right)\end{array}$$

is an $\mathtt{R}$*-module isomorphism. Further* $\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ *is the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m_z *and* $\psi_z\circ t_\zeta=\tau_1\circ\psi_z$, *where* $t_\zeta(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{a}\zeta$, *for all* $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathtt{R}[\eta]$.

Proof. It is clear that $a\in\mathtt{Ker}(\psi_z)$ if and only if $a\in\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]^{\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}}\cap\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$, where duality $\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}$ is with respect to trace form. As the trace bilinear form is nondegenerate, we have $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]^{\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}}\oplus\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ and $\mathtt{Ker}(\psi_z)=\{0\}$. Hence ψ_z is an $\mathtt{R}$-module monomorphism. We remark that, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$ is cyclic, if and only if $\psi_z\circ t_\zeta=\tau_1\circ\psi_z$, for all $a\in\mathtt{R}[\eta]$. Finally, we have $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$, so $\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ is the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m_z. Hence, ψ_z is an $\mathtt{R}$-module isomorphism. □

Definition 4.3. *A non trivial cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\mathscr{C}$ *is said to be* irreducible, *if for all* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear cyclic subcodes* $\mathscr{C}_1$ *and* $\mathscr{C}_2$ *of* $\mathscr{C}$, *such that,* $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{C}_1\oplus\mathscr{C}_2$, *implies* $\mathscr{C}_1=\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ *or* $\mathscr{C}_2=\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$.

Proposition 10. *The irreducible cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes are precisely* $\theta^t\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$*s, where* $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$ *and* $z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, the cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\}))$ and all the $\mathtt{R}$-linear cyclic subcodes are irreducible. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code. Then the $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{C}):=\left\{\mathbf{c}\in\mathtt{R}^\ell\ :\ \theta^{s-1}\mathbf{c}\in\mathscr{C}\right\}$ is cyclic and free, and so $\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{C})=\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})$ for some $\mathrm{A}\subset\Sigma_\ell(q)$ and $\mathrm{A}\neq\emptyset$. Assume that $|\mathrm{A}|>1$. Then $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})=\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1)\oplus\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2)$ where $\mathrm{A}_1\cap\mathrm{A}_2=\emptyset$, $\mathrm{A}_1\neq\emptyset$ and $\mathrm{A}_2\neq\emptyset$. We have $\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1)\neq\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ and $\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2)\neq\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. Therefore $\mathscr{C}=(\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1))\oplus(\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2))$. It is impossible, because $\mathscr{C}$ be an irreducible. So $|\mathrm{A}|=1$. Now, $\mathscr{C}\subseteq\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, it follows that $\mathscr{C}=\theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, for some $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$. □

We set $\Sigma_\ell(q)$ a set of representatives of each q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ. An (q,s)*-cyclotomic partition* modulo ℓ, is the $(s+1)$-tuple $(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)$ with the property $\mathrm{A}_t=\mathtt{C}_q\left(\lambda^{-1}(\{t\})\right)$, where $\lambda:\Sigma_\ell(q)\to\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$ is a map. Denoted by

$$\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s):=\left\{(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\ :\ \left(\exists\lambda\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}^{\Sigma_\ell(q)}\right)\left(\mathrm{A}_t=\lambda^{-1}(\{t\})\right)\right\}$$

the set of (q,s)-cyclotomic partitions modulo ℓ, and $\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell)$ the set of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ. We have $|\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)|=(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$.

Example 4.2. *We take* $\ell=20,q=3$ *and* $s=2$. *Then* $|\Sigma_\ell(q)|=13$ *and* $|\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)|=3^7$. *An* (q,s)*-cyclotomic partition modulo* ℓ, *is* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=\left(\mathtt{C}_q(\{0,1,2\}),\mathtt{C}_q(\{5,11\}),\mathtt{C}_q(\{4,10\})\right)$.

Theorem 4. *Any cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\mathscr{C}$ *there exists a unique* $\mathrm{A}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ *such that* $\mathscr{C}=\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A})=\bigoplus_{t=0}^{s-1}\theta^t\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t)$. *Moreover, the type of* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ *is*

$$(|\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_0)|,|\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_1)|,\cdots,|\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_{s-1})|),$$

for some $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. From Proposition 9, we have $\mathtt{R}^\ell=\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$ and $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$'s are free irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes. Therefore $\mathscr{C}=\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\mathscr{C}_z$, where $\mathscr{C}_z=$

$\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})\cap\mathscr{C}$. From Proposition 10, $\mathscr{C}_z=\theta^{t_z}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, where $t_z\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$. Hence

$$\mathscr{C}=\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\theta^{t_z}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})=\bigoplus_{t=0}^{s-1}\theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_t),$$

where $\mathtt{A}_t=\{z\in\Sigma_\ell\ :\ t_z=t\}$. Since $|\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)|=(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$, by Theorem 2, the uniqueness of $\underline{\mathtt{A}}:=(\mathtt{A}_0,\mathtt{A}_1,\cdots,\mathtt{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ such that $\mathscr{C}=\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\mathtt{A})$ is guaranteed.

Moreover, for every $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$, the cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_t)$ is free and $\mathtt{rank}_\mathtt{R}(\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_t))=|\mathfrak{C}_q(\mathtt{A}_t)|$. Since the direct sum $\bigoplus_{t=0}^{s-1}\theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_t)$ gives the type of $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})$, the type of $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})$ is $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$, where $k_t:=|\mathfrak{C}_q(\mathtt{A}_t)|$, for every $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$. □

Proposition 11. *Let $\underline{\mathtt{A}}:=(\mathtt{A}_0,\mathtt{A}_1,\cdots,\mathtt{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ and $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$. Then $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})^\perp=\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})$, where $\underline{\mathtt{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}}:=(-\mathtt{A}_s,-\mathtt{A}_{s-1},\cdots,-\mathtt{A}_1,-\mathtt{A}_0)$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathtt{A}}:=(\mathtt{A}_0,\mathtt{A}_1,\cdots,\mathtt{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$. We have $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})^\perp\supseteq\bigcap_{u=0}^{s-1}\left(\theta^{s-u}\mathtt{R}^\ell+\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_u^\diamond)\right)$ and $\theta^{s-t}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};-\mathtt{A}_t)\subseteq\bigcap_{u=0}^{s-1}\left(\theta^{s-u}\mathtt{R}^\ell+\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{A}_u^\diamond)\right)$, for every $t\in\{1,2,\cdots,s\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})\subseteq\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})^\perp$. From Proposition 4 and Theorem 4, $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})$ and $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})^\perp$ have the same type, we have $\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}})^\perp=\mathbf{C}_\mathtt{R}(\underline{\mathtt{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})$. □

5. Constacyclic linear codes over a finite chain ring

Let $\gamma\in\mathtt{R}^\times$ and the multiplicative order of γ is u. We study the structure of contractions of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length $u\ell$. In the section, the usage of the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\wp: & \mathtt{R}^\ell & \to & \mathtt{R}^{u\ell}\\ & \underline{\mathbf{c}} & \mapsto & (\gamma^{u-1}\underline{\mathbf{c}}\,|\,\gamma^{u-2}\underline{\mathbf{c}}\,|\,\cdots\,|\,\gamma\underline{\mathbf{c}},|\,\underline{\mathbf{c}}),\end{array}\tag{2}$$

will be necessary.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$ and $\mathscr{C}:=\wp(\mathscr{K})$.*

1. *The $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{K}$ is called the* contraction of a linear code *of $\mathscr{C}$.*
2. *The $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is called the* cyclic concatenation *of $\mathscr{K}$.*

The contraction of a class of linear cyclic codes over finite fields have been investigated in [2]. Our contribution is the generalization of this theory of contraction of cyclic codes to finite chain rings.

Lemma 4. *Let $\gamma\in\mathtt{R}^\times$ and u the multiplicative order of γ. Then the map $\wp$ is an $\mathtt{R}$-module monomorphism. Moreover $\wp\circ\tau_\gamma=\tau_1\circ\wp$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\wp$ is an $\mathtt{R}$-module monomorphism. Let $\underline{\mathbf{c}}:=(\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathtt{R}^\ell$ and τ_1 the cyclic shift on $\{0,1,\cdots,u\ell-1\}$. We have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\tau_1(\wp(\underline{\mathbf{c}})) &=& \tau_1(\cdots|\,\gamma^i\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\gamma^i\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}\,|\,\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}\,|\,\cdots);\\ &=& (\cdots|\,\gamma^{i+1}\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1},\gamma^i\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\gamma^i\mathbf{c}_{\ell-2}\,|\,\gamma^i\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1},\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{c}_{\ell-2}\,|\,\cdots);\\ &=& \left(\gamma^{u-1}\tau_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{c}})\,|\,\gamma^{u-2}\tau_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{c}})\,|\,\cdots\,|\,\gamma\tau_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{c}})\,|\,\tau_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{c}})\right);\\ &=& \wp(\tau_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{c}})).\end{array}$$

Hence $\wp\circ\tau_\gamma=\tau_1\circ\wp$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\gamma\in\mathtt{R}^\times$ and u the multiplicative order of γ and $\mathscr{K}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. Then $\mathscr{K}$ is γ-constacyclic if and only if $\wp(\mathscr{K})$ is cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$. Moreover, $\mathscr{K}$ and $\wp(\mathscr{K})$ have the same type.*

Proof. The map $\wp$ is an $\mathtt{R}$-module monomorphism. So $\wp(\mathscr{K})$ is $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$ and $\mathscr{K}$, $\wp(\mathscr{K})$ have the same type. From Lemma 4, we have $\wp\circ\tau_\gamma=\tau_1\circ\wp$, and so $\wp(\mathscr{K})$ is cyclic. □

This show how to construct a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code from a constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code. Now we want to construct a constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code from a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code. Let A be a subset of $\{0,1,\cdots,u\ell-1\}$. One denotes $\mathrm{A}(\bmod u):=\{a\,(\bmod u):\ a\in\mathrm{A}\}$.

Theorem 5. *Let $u,\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(u\ell,q)=1$. Let A be a subset of $\{0,1,\cdots,u\ell-1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})$ be a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$. Then $\complement_q(\mathrm{A})(\bmod u)=\{\omega\}$, if and only if $\mathscr{K}:=\wp^{-1}(\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}))$ is an γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, where $\gamma=\xi^{-\frac{\omega(q^m-1)}{u}\bmod u}$. Moreover $\mathscr{K}^\perp=\wp^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}^{\star u})\right)$, where $\complement_q(\mathrm{A})(\bmod u)=\{\omega\}$, and $\mathrm{A}^{\star u}:=\{a\in\mathrm{A}^\diamond:\ a\equiv-\omega(\bmod u)\}$, is an γ^{-1}-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ.*

Proof. Let m be the positive integer such that $q^m\equiv 1(\bmod u\ell)$ and $q^m\not\equiv 1(\bmod u\ell)$. Let $\mathtt{S}:=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$ be a Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m. We set $\beta:=\xi^{\frac{q^m-1}{u}\bmod u}$, $w:=\frac{q^m-1}{u\ell}$ and $\eta:=\xi^w$. Let $\mathrm{Z}:=\complement_q(\mathrm{A})$, where A is a subset of Σ_ℓ. Then $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{Z})=\oplus_{z\in\mathrm{Z}}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$. It is enough to show that $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})\subseteq\wp(\mathtt{R}^\ell)$, for all $z\in\mathrm{Z}$. Let $z\in\mathrm{Z}$, we set $m_z:=|\complement_q(z)|$ and $\zeta:=\eta^{m_z}$. From Lemma 3, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})=\psi_z(\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}])=\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(ev_\eta(\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]X^z)\right)$. Thus for all $\underline{\mathbf{c}}:=(\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{u\ell-1})\in\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, From Lemma 3, exist a unique $\mathbf{a}\in\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ and such that $\underline{\mathbf{c}}=\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(ev_\eta(\mathbf{a}X^z)\right)$. Since $\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ is the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m_z, then there exist a unique $(\mathbf{a}_0,\mathbf{a}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{a}_{m_z-1})$ such that $\mathbf{a}:=\sum_{h=0}^{m_z-1}\mathbf{a}_h\xi^{hm_z}\in\mathtt{R}[\xi^{m_z}]$ and $\mathbf{c}_t:=\sum_{h=0}^{m_z-1}\mathbf{a}_h\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\xi^{hm_z+wtz\bmod\ell}\right)$, for all $t\in\Sigma_{u\ell}$. From the euclidian division of $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,u\ell-1\}$, by ℓ, there exists $(i,j)\in\Sigma_u\times\Sigma_\ell$, such that $t=i\ell+j$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}_{i\ell+j} &= \sum_{h=0}^{m_z-1}\mathbf{a}_h\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\beta^{zi}\xi^{hm_z+wjz\bmod\ell}\right),\ \text{since } \beta=\xi^{w\ell\bmod u}\ \text{and}\ \beta^{z\bmod u}=\beta^{\omega\bmod u};\\
&= \beta^{\omega i\bmod u}\left(\sum_{h=0}^{m_z-1}\mathbf{a}_h\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\xi^{hm_z+wjz\bmod\ell}\right)\right),\ \text{since } \beta^{\omega\bmod u}\in\mathtt{R};\\
&= \beta^{\omega i\bmod u}\mathbf{x}_j\ \text{and}\ \mathbf{x}_j:=\sum_{h=0}^{m_z-1}\mathbf{a}_h\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\xi^{hm_z+wjz\bmod\ell}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{c}:=(\cdots\mid\gamma^i\mathbf{x}_0,\cdots,\gamma^i\mathbf{x}_{\ell-1}\mid\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{x}_0,\cdots,\gamma^{i-1}\mathbf{x}_{\ell-1}\mid\cdots)$ and $\gamma:=\beta^{-\omega}$. Hence $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})\subseteq\wp(\mathtt{R}^\ell)$. As $\wp\circ\tau_\gamma=\tau_1\circ\wp$, it follows that $\mathscr{K}$ is an γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. For sufficiency, it is enough to note that the above proof is reversible.

As $\mathscr{K}^\perp$ is an γ^{-1}-constacyclic free $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of rank $\ell-|\mathrm{A}|$, the cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code which yields $\mathscr{K}^\perp$, by contraction must have the definition set B of size $|\mathrm{A}|$. □

Example 5.1. *Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) where $q=3$. We take $\ell=28,u=2$. We set $\mathrm{A}_1:=\complement_q(\{1,7\})$, $\mathrm{A}_2:=\complement_q(\{1,5,7\})$, and $\mathrm{A}_3:=\complement_q(\{1,5,7,11\})$. We have $\complement_q(\mathrm{A}_i)(\bmod 2)=\{1\}$. So we can set $\mathscr{K}_i:=\wp^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_i)\right)$, where $i\in\{1,2,3\}$. Since $\mathrm{A}_1^{\star 2}=\mathrm{A}_3$ and $\mathrm{A}_2^{\star 2}=\mathrm{A}_2$, we have $\mathscr{K}_3=\mathscr{K}_1^\perp$ and $\mathscr{K}_2$ is self-dual.*

The *Hamming weight* of an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ, is defined as: $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C}):=\min\{\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\mathbf{c}}):\mathbf{c}\in\mathscr{C}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}\}$, where $\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\mathbf{c}}):=|\{j\in\Sigma_\ell:\ \mathbf{c}_j\neq 0\}|$.

Corollary 2. *Let $u,\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(u\ell,q)=1$. Let $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_{u\ell}(q,s)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ be a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length $u\ell$ such that $\bigcup_{t=0}^{s-1}\mathrm{A}_t\,(\bmod u)=\{\omega\}$. Set $\mathscr{K}:=\wp^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})\right)$. Then*

(1) *$\mathscr{K}$ is an γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, where $\gamma=\xi^{-\frac{\omega(q^m-1)}{u}\bmod u}$;*

(2) $\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\boldsymbol{c}}) = u \cdot \mathtt{wt}(\wp^{-1}(\underline{\boldsymbol{c}}))$, *for every* $\underline{\boldsymbol{c}} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$;

(3) $\mathscr{K}^{\perp} = \wp^{-1}(\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}}^{\star u}))$, *where* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}^{\star u} := (-\mathrm{A}_s^{\star u}, -\mathrm{A}_{s-1}, \cdots, -\mathrm{A}_1, -\mathrm{A}_0^{\triangleleft u})$ *with*

- $\mathrm{A}_s^{\star u} := \{a \in \mathrm{A}_s \ : \ a \equiv -\omega(\mathtt{mod}\, u)\}$,
- $\mathrm{A}_0^{\triangleleft u} := \mathrm{A}_0 \cup (\mathrm{A}_s \setminus \mathrm{A}_s^{\star u})$.

Example 5.2. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *where* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R}) = \mathtt{R}\theta$, $q = 3$ *and* $s = 2$. *We take* $\ell = 10, u = 2$. *We set* $\underline{\mathrm{A}} := (\mathrm{A}_0, \mathrm{A}_1, \mathrm{A}_2)$, *where* $\mathrm{A}_0 := \mathtt{C}_q(\{1\})$, $\mathrm{A}_1 := \mathtt{C}_q(\{5\})$, *and* $\mathrm{A}_2 := \mathtt{C}_q(\{0,2,4,10,11\})$. *We have* $\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_0)(\mathtt{mod}\ 2) = \mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_1)(\mathtt{mod}\ 2) = \{1\}$. *So the contraction of the cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ *of length* 20, *is the self-dual negacyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\mathscr{K} := \wp^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\eta}(\mathtt{R}; \mathrm{A}_0)\right) \oplus \theta\, \wp^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\eta}(\mathtt{R}; \mathrm{A}_1)\right)$, *of length* 10.

6. Conclusion

We have seen that in the case $\mathtt{gcd}(\ell, |\mathtt{R}|) = 1$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*$, the class of γ-constacyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ, is the same as the class of contractions of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A}_0, \mathrm{A}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{A}_s)$ of length $u\ell$, where u is the multiplicative order of γ, and each cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathbf{C}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A}_0, \mathrm{A}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{A}_s)$ of this class, satisfies: $\bigcup_{t=0}^{s-1} \mathrm{A}_t\,(\mathtt{mod}\, u)$ is a singleton.

References

[1] Batoul A., Guenda K., Guelliver T.A., *On the self-dual cyclic codes over finite chain rings*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 70(1) 347-358 (2014)

[2] Bierbrauer J., *The Theory of Cyclic Codes and a Generalization to Additive Codes*. Des.Codes.Cryptogr. 25(2): 189–206 (2002).

[3] Yonglin Cao, *On constacyclic codes over finite chain rings*, Finite Fields and Their Applications 24 (2013) 124–135.

[4] H. Dinh, S.R. López-Permouth, *Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50 (8) 1728–1744(2004).

[5] Kai X., Zhu S., Tang Y., *Some constacyclic self-dual codes over the integers modulo* 2^m , Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012) 258–270

[6] McDonald B. R., *Finite Rings with Identity*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1974),

[7] Martinez-Moro E., Nicolas A.P.,Rua F.,*On trace codes and Galois invariance over finite commutative chain rings*, Finite Fields Appl. Vol. 22, pp. 114–121 (2013).

[8] Alexandr A. Nechaev, *Finite rings with applications*, in: Handbook of Algebra, vol. 5, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 213–320.

[9] Norton G.H., Sălăgean A., *On the Structure of Linear and Cyclic Codes over a Finite Chain Ring*, AAECC Vol. 10, pp. 489–506, (2000).

[10] H. Tapia-Recillas, G. Vega, *Some constacyclic codes over* $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ *and binary quasi-cyclic codes*, Discrete Appl. Math. 128 (2003) 305–316.

[11] Wolfmann J., *Negacyclic and cyclic codes over* $\mathbb{Z}_4$, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 45 (7) (1999) 2527–2532.

[12] Zhu S., Kai X., *A class of constacyclic codes over* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$, Finite Fields Appl. 16 (2010) 243–254.

[13] McWilliams F. J. and Sloane N. J. A., *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol.16, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1977).

Department of mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon
E-mail address: alexfotue@gmail.com

Department of mathematics, Higher Teachers Training College of Yaoundé, University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon
E-mail address: cmouaha@yahoo.fr